

Remarks

New claim 35 is dependent on independent claim 7 and specifies certain detail features shown in the FIG. 5 embodiment. New claim 36 is dependent on independent claim 7 and specifies certain details of the FIG. 6 embodiment (see particularly FIGS. 6A and 6B).

New claim 37 is dependent on independent claim 7 and specifies certain details of the embodiments shown in FIGS. 9 through 11.

Comments On The Species Elected For Prosecution.


Applicants' April 24th Response elected the species III shown in FIGS. 3 and 4 in the initial prosecution and identified the claims readable thereon. In that election, Applicants asserted that of the apparatus claims 1 through 29 only claim 9 (which is directed to the specifics of the FIG. 7 and 8 embodiment) is not readable on the elected specie of FIGS. 3 and 4.

Applicants point out that the new claims 35, 36 and 37 are, like claim 9, not readable on the provisionally elected species III.

Applicants request these claims be entered and either
(a) be considered when and as the sub-generic claim 7 is
allowed or (b) provide the basis for a divisional case.

The Commissioner For Patents is hereby authorized to
charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1529.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Lloyd McAulay", is written over a horizontal line.

Dated: June 6, 2003

Lloyd McAulay
Reg. No. 20,423
Attorney For Applicants
Reed Smith LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-7650
(212) 521-5461
Fax No.: (212) 521-5450